





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DA		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,954	09/892,954 06/27/2001		Nicole S. Carpenter	BUR920000141US1	3823
29505	7590	03/18/2003			
DELIO & P		*	EXAMINER		
121 WHITNI NEW HAVE		·		WINTER, GENTLE E	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1746	3
				DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\bigcirc	AS-3			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	_	09/892,954	CARPENTER E	T AL.			
Office Action	Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Gentle E. Winter	1746				
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address			
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the r - If the period for reply specified at - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or e	THIS COMMUNICATIO ble under the provisions of 37 CFF nailing date of this communication, sove is less than thirty (30) days, a above, the maximum statutory per extended period for reply will, by stater than three months after the m	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered tin ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	nely. communication.			
1) Responsive to cor	nmunication(s) filed on 2	<u> 27 June 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINA	AL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this applicate closed in accordant Disposition of Claims	ion is in condition for allonce with the practice und	owance except for formal m der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (eatters, prosecution as to C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/ar	e pending in the applica	tion.					
4a) Of the above cla	aim(s) is/are witho	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/a	re allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/a	re rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/a	re objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are s	ubject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is	objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
	- ·	reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declarat	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§							
		eign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some '	,						
		ents have been received.					
_		ents have been received in					
application	n from the International	riority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) list of the certified copies no		al Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is r	nade of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provision	al application).			
		provisional application has estic priority under 35 U.S.0					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (P Notice of Draftsperson's Pater Information Disclosure Statem	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper N of Informal Patent Application (F				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office	Action Summary	Par	t of Paper No. 3			

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to method of removing contaminate particulate matter from a substrate, classified in class 134, subclass 1.
 - II. Claims 11-20, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 134, subclass 94.1.
- III. Claims 21-25, drawn to a semiconductor electronic component classified in class 174 subclass 52.1
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process of use and product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product, as claimed, can be used in a materially different process of using that product. Specifically the apparatus could be used to planarize substrates.
- 4. Inventions I & I) and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions they have different modes of operation an electronic component does not operate in the same manner as a method or a particulate remover.

Art Unit: 1746

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. Regardless of which invention is elected, applicant should note that that the claims are subject to an election of species requirement.
- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.
- 8. Claims 1 and 11 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

Energy (generic claim 1 to claims 4 and 5)

- a. Sonic
- b. Thermal
- c. Centrifugal
- d. Magnetic
- e. Vibrational

Sacrificial Coating Material (generic claim 1 to claims 3, 6, 7, and 10)

- f. Fluid
- g. Liquid
- h. Curable polymer
- i. Gas polymer
- j. Liquid polymer
- k. Vapor polymer

Art Unit: 1746

l. Fluid polymer

Energy Forming Means (generic claim 11 to claims 14, 15)

- m. Sonic means
- n. Thermal means
- o. Centrifugal means
- p. Magnetic means
- q. Vibrational means
- 9. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic for a-l and claim 11 is generic to m-q.
- 10. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 11. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1746

- 12. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 13. A telephone call was made to William D. Sabo on March 10. 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 14. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 15. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gentle E. Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-3:30.

Art Unit: 1746

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (703) 308-4333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gentle E. Winter Examiner Art Unit 1746

gew

March 10, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATERAL CONTRACTOR

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700